


UNIT – 6: CONTINGENT AND QUASI CONTRACTS

LEARNING OUTCOMES

After studying this Chapter, you will be able to understand:

- ◆ Basic characteristics of 'Contingent contract' and 'Quasi-contract' so that you are able to distinguish between a contract of any of these types and a simple contract.
- ◆ Rules relating to enforcement of these in order to gain an understanding of rights and obligations of the parties to the contract.

UNIT OVERVIEW



6.1 CONTINGENT CONTRACTS

In this unit, we shall briefly examine what is called a 'contingent contract', its essentials and the rules regarding enforcement of this type of contracts. The Contract Act recognises certain cases in which an obligation is created without a contract. Such obligations arise out of certain relations which cannot be called as contracts in the strict sense. There is no offer, no acceptance, no *consensus ad idem* and in fact neither agreement nor promise and yet the law

imposes an obligation on one party and confers a right in favour of the other. We shall have a look on these cases of 'Quasi-contracts'.

A contract may be absolute or a contingent. An Absolute contract is one where the promisor undertakes to perform the contract in any event without any condition.

Definition of 'Contingent Contract' (Section 31)

"A contract to do or not to do something, if some event, collateral to such contract, does or does not happen".

Contracts of Insurance, indemnity and guarantee fall under this category.

Example 1: A contracts to pay B ₹ 10,00,000 if B's house is burnt. This is a contingent contract.

Example 2: A makes a contract with B to buy his house for ₹ 50,00,000 if he is able to secure to bank loan for that amount. The contract is contingent contract.

Meaning of collateral Event: Pollock and Mulla defined collateral event as "an event which is neither a performance directly promised as part of the contract, nor the whole of the consideration for a promise".

Example 3: A contracts to pay B ₹ 10,00,000 if B's house is burnt. This is a contingent contract. Here the burning of the B's house is neither a performance promised as part of the contract nor it is the consideration obtained from B. The liability of A arises only on the happening of the collateral event.

Example 4: A agrees to transfer his property to B if her wife C dies. This is a contingent contract because the property can be transferred only when C dies.

Essentials of a contingent contract

- (a) **The performance of a contingent contract would depend upon the happening or non-happening of some event or condition.** The condition may be precedent or subsequent.

Example 5: 'A' promises to 'B' to sell 200 umbrellas for ₹ 50,000 if it rains on first of the next month.

- (b) **The event referred to as collateral to the contract.** The event is not part of the contract. The event should be neither performance promised nor a consideration for a promise.

Thus (i) where A agrees to deliver 100 bags of wheat and B agrees to pay the price only afterwards, the contract is a conditional contract and not contingent; because the event on which B's obligation is made to depend is part of the promise itself and not a collateral event. (ii) Similarly, where A promises to pay B ₹ 1,00,000 if he marries C, it is

not a contingent contract. (iii) 'A' agreed to construct a swimming pool for 'B' for ₹ 20,00,000. And 'B' agreed to make the payment only on the completion of the swimming pool. It is not a contingent contract as the event (i.e. construction of the swimming pool) is directly connected with the contract.

- (c) **The contingent event should not be a mere 'will' of the promisor.** The event should be contingent in addition to being the will of the promisor.

Example 6: If A promises to pay B ₹ 100,000, if he so chooses, it is not a contingent contract. (In fact, it is not a contract at all). However, where the event is within the promisor's will but not merely his will, it may be contingent contract.

Example 7: If A promises to pay B ₹ 100,000 if it rains on 1st April and A leave Delhi for Mumbai on a particular day, it is a contingent contract, because going to Mumbai is an event no doubt within A's will, but raining is not merely his will.

- (d) **The event must be uncertain.** Where the event is certain or bound to happen, the contract is due to be performed, then it is a not contingent contract.

Example 8: 'A' agreed to sell his agricultural land to 'B' after obtaining the necessary permission from the collector. As a matter of course, the permission was generally granted on the fulfilment of certain formalities. It was held that the contract was not a contingent contract as the grant of permission by the collector was almost a certainty.



6.2 RULES RELATING TO ENFORCEMENT

The rules relating to enforcement of a contingent contract are laid down in **sections 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 of the Act.**

- (a) **Enforcement of contracts contingent on an event happening:**

Section 32 says that "where a contingent contract is made to do or not to do anything if an uncertain future event happens, it cannot be enforced by law unless and until that event has happened. If the event becomes impossible, such contracts become void".

Example 9: A contracts to pay B a sum of money when B marries C. C dies without being married to B. The Contract becomes void.

- (b) **Enforcement of contracts contingent on an event not happening:** Section 33 says that "Where a contingent contract is made to do or not do anything if an uncertain future event does not happen, it can be enforced only when the happening of that event becomes impossible and not before".

Example 10: Where 'P' agrees to pay 'Q' a sum of money if a particular ship does not return, the contract becomes enforceable only if the ship sinks so that it cannot return.

Where A agrees to pay sum of money to B if certain ship does not return however the ship returns back. Here the contract becomes void.

- (c) **A contract would cease to be enforceable if it is contingent upon the conduct of a living person when that living person does something to make the 'event' or 'conduct' as impossible of happening.**

Section 34 says that "if a contract is contingent upon as to how a person will act at an unspecified time, the event shall be considered to have become impossible when such person does anything which renders it impossible that he should so act within any definite time or otherwise than under further contingencies".

Example 11: Where 'A' agrees to pay 'B' a sum of money if 'B' marries 'C'. 'C' marries 'D'. This act of 'C' has rendered the event of 'B' marrying 'C' as impossible; it is though possible if there is divorce between 'C' and 'D'.

In *Frost V. Knight*, the defendant promised to marry the plaintiff on the death of his father. While the father was still alive, he married another woman. It was held that it had become impossible that he should marry the plaintiff and she was entitled to sue him for the breach of the contract.

- (d) **Contingent on happening of specified event within the fixed time:** Section 35 says that Contingent contracts to do or not to do anything, if a specified uncertain event happens within a fixed time, becomes void if, at the expiration of time fixed, such event has not happened, or if, before the time fixed, such event becomes impossible.

Example 12: A promises to pay B a sum of money if certain ship returns within a year. The contract may be enforced if the ship returns within the year, and becomes void if the ship is burnt within the year.

- (e) **Contingent on specified event not happening within fixed time:** Section 35 also says that - "Contingent contracts to do or not to do anything, if a specified uncertain event does not happen within a fixed time, may be enforced by law when the time fixed has expired, and such event has not happened or before the time fixed has expired, if it becomes certain that such event will not happen".

Example 13: A promises to pay B a sum of money if a certain ship does not return within a year. The contract may be enforced if the ship does not return within the year, or is burnt within the year.

- (f) **Contingent on an impossible event (Section 36):** Contingent agreements to do or not to do anything, if an impossible event happens are void, whether the impossibility of the event is known or not to the parties to the agreement at the time when it is made.

Example 14: 'A' agrees to pay 'B' ₹one lakh if sun rises in the west next morning. This is an impossible event and hence void.

Example 15: X agrees to pay Y ₹1,00,000 if two straight lines should enclose a space. The agreement is void.

Difference between a contingent contract and a wagering contract

Basis of difference	Contingent contract	Wagering contract
Meaning	A contingent contract is a contract to do or not to do something with reference to a collateral event happening or not happening.	A wagering agreement is a promise to give money or money's worth with reference to an uncertain event happening or not happening.
Reciprocal promises	Contingent contract may not contain reciprocal promises.	A wagering agreement consists of reciprocal promises.
Uncertain event	In a contingent contract, the event is collateral.	In a wagering contract, the uncertain event is the core factor.
Nature of contract	Contingent contract may not be wagering in nature.	A wagering agreement is essentially contingent in nature.
Interest of contracting parties	Contracting parties have interest in the subject matter in contingent contract.	The contracting parties have no interest in the subject matter.
Doctrine of mutuality of lose and gain	Contingent contract is not based on doctrine of mutuality of lose and gain.	A wagering contract is a game, losing and gaining alone matters.
Effect of contract	Contingent contract is valid.	A wagering agreement is void.



6.3 QUASI CONTRACTS

A valid contract must contain certain essential elements, such as offer and acceptance, capacity to contract, consideration and free consent. But sometimes the law implies a promise imposing obligations on one party and conferring right in favour of the other even when there is no offer, no acceptance, no genuine consent, lawful consideration, etc. and in fact neither agreement nor promise. Such cases are not contract in the strict sense, but the Court recognises them as **relations resembling those of contracts** and enforces them as if they were contracts. Hence the term **Quasi –contracts (i.e. resembling a contract)**. Even in the absence of a contract, certain social relationships give rise to certain specific obligations to be performed by certain persons. These are known as quasi contracts as they create same obligations as in the case of regular contract.

Quasi contracts are based on principles of equity, justice and good conscience.

A quasi or constructive contract rest upon the maxims, “No man must grow rich out of another person’s loss”.

Example 16: T, a tradesman, leaves goods at C’s house by mistake. C treats the goods as his own. C is bound to pay for the goods.


Example 17: A pays some money to B by mistake. It is really due to C. B must refund the money to A.

Example 18: A fruit parcel is delivered under a mistake to R who consumes the fruits thinking them as birthday present. R must return the parcel or pay for the fruits. Although there is no agreement between R and the true owner, yet he is bound to pay as the law regards it a Quasi-contract.

These relations are called as quasi-contractual obligations. In India it is also called as ‘certain relation resembling those created by contracts.’

Salient features of quasi contracts:

- (a) In the first place, such a right is always a right to money and generally, though not always, to a liquidated sum of money.
- (b) Secondly, it does not arise from any agreement of the parties concerned, but is imposed by the law; and
- (c) Thirdly, it is a right which is available not against all the world, but against a particular person or persons only, so that in this respect it resembles a contractual right.



Under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, the relationship of quasi contract is deemed to have come to exist in five different circumstances which we shall presently dilate upon. But it may be noted that in none of these cases there comes into existence any contract between the parties in the real sense. Due to peculiar circumstances in which they are placed, the law imposes in each of these cases the contractual liability.

(a) Claim for necessities supplied to persons incapable of contracting (Section 68):

If a person, incapable of entering into a contract, or anyone whom he is legally bound to support, is supplied by another person with necessities suited to his condition in life, the person who has furnished such supplies is entitled to be reimbursed from the property of such incapable person.

Example 19: A supplies B, a lunatic, or a minor, with necessities suitable to his condition in life. A is entitled to be reimbursed from B's property.

To establish his claim, the supplier must prove not only that the goods were supplied to the person who was minor or a lunatic but also that they were suitable to his actual requirements at the time of the sale and delivery.

(b) Payment by an interested person (Section 69): A person who is interested in the payment of money which another is bound by law to pay, and who therefore pays it, is entitled to be reimbursed by the other.

Example 20: B holds land in Bengal, on a lease granted by A, the zamindar. The revenue payable by A to the Government being in arrear, his land is advertised for sale by the Government. Under the revenue law, the consequence of the sale will be the annulment of B's lease. B, to prevent the sale and the consequent annulment of his own lease, pays to the government the sum due from A. A is bound to make good to B the amount so paid.

- (c) **Obligation of person enjoying benefits of non-gratuitous act (Section 70):** In term of section 70 of the Act "where a person lawfully does anything for another person, or delivers anything to him not intending to do so gratuitously and such other person enjoys the benefit thereof, the latter is bound to pay compensation to the former in respect of, or to restore, the thing so done or delivered".

It thus follows that for a suit to succeed, the plaintiff must prove:

- (i) that he had done the act or had delivered the thing lawfully;
- (ii) that he did not do so gratuitously; and
- (iii) that the other person enjoyed the benefit.

The above can be illustrated by a case law where 'K' a government servant was compulsorily retired by the government. He filed a writ petition and obtained an injunction against the order. He was reinstated and was paid salary but was given no work and in the meantime government went on appeal. The appeal was decided in favour of the government and 'K' was directed to return the salary paid to him during the period of reinstatement. **[Shyam Lal vs. State of U.P. A.I.R (1968) 130]**

Example 21: A, a tradesman, leaves goods at B's house by mistake. B treats the goods as his own. He is bound to pay A for them.

- (d) **Responsibility of finder of goods (Section 71):** 'A person who finds goods belonging to another and takes them into his custody is subject to same responsibility as if he were a bailee'.

Thus, a finder of lost goods has:

- (i) to take proper care of the property as man of ordinary prudence would take
- (ii) no right to appropriate the goods and
- (iii) to restore the goods if the owner is found.

In **Hollins vs. Howler L. R. & H. L.**, 'H' picked up a diamond on the floor of 'F's shop and handed over the same to 'F' to keep till the owner was found. In spite of the best efforts, the true owner could not be traced. After the lapse of some weeks, 'H' tendered to 'F' the lawful expenses incurred by him and requested to return the diamond to him. 'F' refused to do so. **Held**, 'F' must return the diamond to 'H' as he was entitled to retain the goods found against everybody except the true owner.

Example 22: 'P' a customer in 'D's shop puts down a brooch worn on her coat and forgets to pick it up and one of 'D's assistants finds it and puts it in a drawer over the weekend. On Monday, it was discovered to be missing. 'D' was held to be liable in the absence of ordinary care which a prudent man would have taken.

- (e) **Money paid by mistake or under coercion (Section 72):** "A person to whom money has been paid or anything delivered by mistake or under coercion, must repay or return it".

Every kind of payment of money or delivery of goods for every type of 'mistake' is recoverable. **[Shivprasad Vs Sirish Chandra A.I.R. 1949 P.C. 297]**

Example 23: A payment of municipal tax made under mistaken belief or because of mis-understanding of the terms of lease can be recovered from municipal authorities. The above law was affirmed by Supreme Court in cases of **Sales tax officer vs. Kanhaiyalal A. I. R. 1959 S. C. 835**

Similarly, any money paid by coercion is also recoverable. The word coercion is not necessarily governed by section 15 of the Act. The word is interpreted to mean and include oppression, extortion, or such other means **[Seth Khanjelek vs National Bank of India]**.

In a case where 'T' was traveling without ticket in a tram car and on checking he was asked to pay ₹5/- as penalty to compound transaction. T filed a suit against the corporation for recovery on the ground that it was extorted from him. The suit was decreed in his favour. **[Trikamdas vs. Bombay Municipal Corporation A. I. R. 1954]**

In all the above cases the contractual liability arose without any agreement between the parties.

Difference between quasi contracts and contracts

Basis of distinction	Quasi- Contract	Contract
Essential for the valid contract	The essentials for the formation of a valid contract are absent	The essentials for the formation of a valid contract are present
Obligation	Imposed by law	Created by the consent of the parties

SUMMARY

- ◆ **Contingent Contracts** are the contracts, which are conditional on some future event happening or not happening and are enforceable when the future event or loss occurs. (Section 31)

RULES FOR ENFORCEMENT

- (a) If it is contingent on the happening of a future event, it is enforceable when the event happens. The contract becomes void if the event becomes impossible, or the event does not happen till the expiry of time fixed for happening of the event.
 - (b) If it is contingent on a future event not happening. It can be enforced when happening of that event becomes impossible or it does not happen at the expiry of time fixed for non-happening of the event.
 - (c) If the future event is the act of a living person, any conduct of that person which prevents the event happening within a definite time renders the event impossible.
 - (d) If the future event is impossible at the time of the contract is made, the contract is void ab initio.
- ◆ Wagering Contracts are void.
 - ◆ Quasi Contracts arise where obligations are created without a contract. The obligations which they give rise to are expressly enacted:
 - (a) If necessities are supplied to a person who is incapable of contracting, the supplier is entitled to claim their price from the property of such a person.
 - (b) A person who is interested in the payment of money which another is bound to pay, and who therefore pays it, is entitled to be reimbursed by the other.
 - (c) A person who enjoys the benefit of a non-gratuitous act is bound to make compensation.
 - (d) A person who finds lost property may retain it subject to the responsibility of a bailee.
 - (e) If money is paid or goods delivered by mistake or under coercion, the recipient must repay or make restoration.

CONTINGENT CONTRACT (SEC.31)

A contract to do or not to do something if some event, collateral to such contract, does or does not happen. E.G. Contracts of insurance, indemnity or guarantee.

Legal Rules

<u>Contingent upon</u>	<u>When can it be enforced</u>	<u>When it become void?</u>
Happening of an event	When event has happened	When event becomes impossible
Non-happening of an event	When the happening of the event becomes impossible	When event has happened.
Behavior of a person within the specified time	Such person acts in specified manner.	When such person does anything which renders it impossible
Happening of an event within the specified time	When event has happened within the specified time.	When event has not happened within the specified time OR Event becomes impossible before expiry of specified time.
Non-happening of an event within the specified time	When event has not happened within the specified time OR Event becomes impossible before expiry of specified time.	When event has happened within the specified time.
Happening of an impossible event	Void, whether the impossibility of the event is known or not known to the parties to the agreement at the time when it is made.	

QUASI CONTRACTS

Under certain special circumstances, the law creates and enforces legal rights and obligations, although the parties have never entered into a contract.

Types of Quasi Contracts

1. Claims for necessities supplied to a person incompetent to contract (but upto property of incompetent.)
2. Reimbursement to a person paying money due by another in the payment of which he is interested.
3. Obligation of a person enjoying benefits of non-gratuitous (without any cost) acts.
4. Responsibility of a finder of lost goods. His responsibility is same as that of a bailee.
5. Liability of a person to whom money is paid or goods delivered under mistake or coercion.

TEST YOUR KNOWLEDGE

Multiple Choice Questions

1. *A contract dependent on the happening or non-happening of future uncertain event, is*
 - (a) *Uncertain contract*
 - (b) *Contingent contract*
 - (c) *Void contract*
 - (d) *Voidable contract*
2. *A contingent contract is*
 - (a) *Void*
 - (b) *Voidable*
 - (c) *Valid*
 - (d) *Illegal*
3. *A contingent contract dependent on the happening of future uncertain even can be enforced when the event*
 - (a) *happens*
 - (b) *becomes impossible*
 - (c) *does not happen*
 - (d) *either of these*
4. *A agrees to pay ₹ One lakh to B if he brings on earth a star from sky. This is a contingent contract and*
 - (a) *Illegal*
 - (b) *Valid*
 - (c) *Voidable*
 - (d) *Void*
5. *Which of the following is not a contingent contract:*
 - (a) *A promise to pay B if he repairs his scooter.*
 - (b) *A promise to pay B ₹ 10,000 if B's scooter is stolen.*

- (c) *A promise to pay B ₹ 10,000 if B's burnt his hands.*
 - (d) *A promise to pay B ₹ 10,000 if it rains on first of the next month.*
6. *Which of the following statements regarding Quasi-contracts is incorrect:*
- (a) *It resembles a contract*
 - (b) *It is imposed by law*
 - (c) *It is based on the doctrine of unjust enrichment*
 - (d) *It is voluntarily created*
7. *For a contingent contract the event must be:*
- (a) *Certain*
 - (b) *Uncertain*
 - (c) *Independent*
 - (d) *Uncertain and collateral*
8. *Which one of the following is not a characteristic of a contingent contract?*
- (a) *Performance depends upon a future event*
 - (b) *The event must be uncertain*
 - (c) *The event must be collateral to the contract*
 - (d) *There must be reciprocal promises*
9. *Wagering contracts are:*
- (a) *Void*
 - (b) *Voidable*
 - (c) *Valid*
 - (d) *Illegal*
10. *A contract of insurance is:*
- (a) *Wagering contract*
 - (b) *unilateral contract*
 - (c) *Quasi contract*
 - (d) *contingent contract*

11. *Finder of goods should take care of goods as*
- (a) *bailee*
 - (b) *owner*
 - (c) *insurer*
 - (d) *custodian*
12. *A swiggy man wrongly delivered the food to Mr. Ishaan. Ishaan who took the delivery, ate the food immediately. The swiggy boy returned soon once he got information about wrong delivery. Ishaan should make the payment as his case is deemed to be a quasi-contract under:*
- (a) *Claim for necessities supplied to persons incapable of contracting*
 - (b) *responsibility of finder of goods*
 - (c) *Payment by an interest person*
 - (d) *obligation of person enjoying benefits under non-gratuitous act*

Descriptive Questions

1. *Explain the-term 'Quasi Contracts' and state their characteristics.*
2. *X, a minor was studying in M.Com. in a college. On 1st July, 2021 he took a loan of ₹ 1,00,000 from B for payment of his college fees and to purchase books and agreed to repay by 31st December, 2021. X possesses assets worth ₹9 lakhs. On due date, X fails to pay back the loan to B. B now wants to recover the loan from X out of his (X's) assets. Referring to the provisions of Indian Contract Act, 1872 decide whether B would succeed.*
3. *P left his carriage on D's premises. Landlord of D seized the carriage against the rent due from D. P paid the rent and got his carriage released. Can P recover the amount from D?*
4. *Rahul found a smart watch in a restaurant. He enquired about all the customers present there but the true owner could not be found. He handed over the same to the manager of the restaurant to keep till the true owner is found. After a week he went back to the restaurant to enquire about the smart watch. The manager refused to return it to Rahul, saying that it did not belong to Rahul. In the light of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, can Rahul recover it from the Manager?*
5. *Mr. L let out his residential house to Mr. M for ₹ 50,000 p.m. for a period of one year. According to the Rent agreement, electricity bill will be paid by Mr. L, but Mr. L could not pay electricity dues up to 5 months, due to his financial hardships. The Electricity Board sent the notice of disconnection, if it is not paid within a week's time. To avoid all this, Mr. M paid the electricity bill of ₹ 50,000 with penalty. Later on, L refused to reimburse*

₹ 50,000 and argued that he has paid bill voluntarily because of his own interest. Decide with reference to provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 whether Mr. M is entitled to be reimbursed by Mr. L?

6. Mr. Y aged 21 years, lost his mental balance after the death of his parents in an accident. He was left with his grandmother aged 85 years, incapable of walking and dependent upon him. Mr. M, their neighbour, out of pity, started supplying food and other necessities to both of them. Mr. Y and his grandmother used to live in the house built by his parents. Mr. M also provided grandmother with some financial assistance for her emergency medical treatment. After supplying necessities to Mr. Y for four years, Mr. M approached the former asking him to payback ₹ 15 Lakhs inclusive of ₹ 7 Lakhs incurred for the medical treatment of the lady (grandmother). Mr. Y pleaded that he has got his parents' jewellery to sell to a maximum value of ₹ 4 Lakhs, which may be adjusted against the dues. Mr. M refused and threatened Mr. Y with a legal suit to be brought against for recovering the money.

Now, you are to decide upon based on the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872:

- (a) Will Mr. M succeed in filing the suit to recover money?
 - (b) What is the maximum amount of money that can be recovered by Mr. M?
 - (c) Shall the provisions of the above Act also apply to the medical treatment given to the grandmother?
7. Mr. Arjun entered into an agreement with Mr. Bharat to purchase his residential house for ₹1.20 crore. The agreement provided that the sale would be completed only if Mr. Arjun obtained a housing loan of ₹90 lakh from a nationalised bank within two months. Both parties agreed that if the loan was not sanctioned within the stipulated period, neither party would be bound to perform the agreement.

Within the prescribed period, the bank rejected Mr. Arjun's loan application due to insufficient repayment capacity. Mr. Bharat contended that Mr. Arjun was still bound to purchase the house and pay the agreed price.

With reference to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, examine whether the agreement is a contingent contract and discuss its enforceability.

8. Green Agro Ltd. agreed to sell 500 bags of wheat to Fresh Foods Pvt. Ltd. for ₹18 lakh. The agreement provided that payment would be made only after the entire quantity of wheat was delivered.

Fresh Foods Pvt. Ltd. argued that the agreement was a contingent contract because its obligation to pay depended upon the future event of delivery of wheat.

Examine whether the contention of Fresh Foods Pvt. Ltd. is correct under the Indian Contract Act, 1872.

ANSWERS/HINTS

Answers to MCQs

1.	(b)	2.	(c)	3.	(a)	4.	(d)	5.	(a)	6.	(d)
7.	(d)	8.	(d)	9.	(a)	10.	(d)	11.	(a)	12.	(d)

Answers to Descriptive Questions

- Quasi Contracts:** Under certain special circumstances, obligation resembling those created by a contract are imposed by law although the parties have never entered into a contract. Such obligations imposed by law are referred to as 'Quasi-contracts'. Such a contract resembles with a contract so far as result or effect is concerned but it has little or no affinity with a contract in respect of mode of creation. These contracts are based on the doctrine that a person shall not be allowed to enrich himself unjustly at the expense of another. The salient features of a quasi-contract are:

 - It does not arise from any agreement of the parties concerned but is imposed by law.
 - Duty and not promise is the basis of such contract.
 - The right under it is always a right to money and generally though not always to a liquidated sum of money.
 - Such a right is available against specific person(s) and not against the whole world.
 - A suit for its breach may be filed in the same way as in case of a complete contract.
- Yes, B can proceed against the assets of X. According to section 68 of Indian Contract Act, 1872, if a person, incapable of entering into a contract, or any one whom he is legally bound to support, is supplied by another person with necessaries suited to his condition in life, the person who has furnished such supplies is entitled to be reimbursed from the property of such incapable person.

Since the loan given to X is for the necessaries suited to the conditions in life of the minor, his assets can be sued to reimburse B.
- Yes, P can recover the amount from D. Section 69 states a person who is interested in the payment of money which another person is bound by law to pay, and who therefore pays it, is entitled to get it reimbursed by the other.

In the present case, D was lawfully bound to pay rent. P was interested in making the payment to D's landlord as his carriage was seized by him. Hence being an interested party P made the payment and can recover the same from D.

4. **Responsibility of finder of goods (Section 71 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872):** A person who finds goods belonging to another and takes them into his custody is subject to same responsibility as if he were a bailee.

Thus, a finder of lost goods has:

- (i) to take proper care of the property as man of ordinary prudence would take
- (ii) no right to appropriate the goods and
- (iii) to restore the goods if the owner is found.

In the light of the above provisions, the manager must return the smart watch to Rahul, since Rahul is entitled to retain the smart watch found against everybody except the true owner.

5. According to Section 69 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, a person who is interested in the payment of money which another is bound by law to pay, and who therefore pays it, is entitled to be reimbursed by the other.

In the instant case, Mr. M paid the electricity bill to avoid the disconnection of electricity that was pending due to Mr. L's failure to fulfil his contractual obligation. Hence, Mr. M is entitled to be reimbursed ₹ 50,000 from Mr. L.

6. **Claim for necessities supplied to persons incapable of contracting (Section 68 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872):** If a person, incapable of entering into a contract, or anyone whom he is legally bound to support, is supplied by another person with necessities suited to his condition in life, the person who has furnished such supplies is entitled to be reimbursed from the property of such incapable person.

- (a) In the instant case, Mr. M supplied the food and other necessities to Mr. Y (who lost his mental balance) and Mr. Y's grandmother (incapable of walking and dependent upon Mr. Y), hence, Mr. M will succeed in filing the suit to recover money.
- (b) Supplier is entitled to be reimbursed from the property of such incapable person. Hence, the maximum amount of money that can be recovered by Mr. M is ₹ 15 Lakhs and this amount can be recovered from Mr. Y's parents' jewellery amounting to ₹ 4 Lakhs and rest from the house of Y's Parents. (Assumption: Y has inherited the house property on the death of his parents)

- (c) Necessaries will include emergency medical treatment. Hence, the above provisions will also apply to the medical treatment given to the grandmother as Y is legally bound to support his grandmother.

7. According to section 31 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, a contingent contract is a contract to do or not to do something if an uncertain future event, collateral to the contract, happens or does not happen. The collateral event in the present case is the sanction of the housing loan by the bank. This event is uncertain and is not a part of the performance of the contract.

According to Section 32, a contingent contract depending upon the happening of an uncertain future event becomes enforceable only when that event happens. If the event becomes impossible, the contract becomes void.

In the instant case, the agreement is a contingent contract under Section 31 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. Since the bank refused to sanction the loan, the collateral event did not happen and became impossible within the stipulated period. Consequently, the contingent contract became void and neither party can enforce it.

Therefore, Mr. Bharat cannot compel Mr. Arjun to purchase the house.

8. According to section 31 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, a contingent contract is a contract to do or not to do something if an uncertain future event, collateral to the contract, happens or does not happen.

A collateral event is one which is neither the performance promised under the contract nor the consideration for the promise.

In the present case, delivery of wheat is the very performance promised by Green Agro Ltd. under the contract. It is not an event collateral to the contract but an essential term of the contract itself.

Therefore, the agreement is not a contingent contract. It is an ordinary contract containing reciprocal promises, where the buyer's obligation to pay arises upon the seller's performance.

Hence, the contention of Fresh Foods Pvt. Ltd. is not correct.